

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [East Anglia ONE North; East Anglia Two](#)  
**Subject:** Deadline 4 Submission  
**Date:** 11 January 2021 17:18:23

---

Your Ref: EA1N- EN010077 and EA2 - EN010078

My Ref ID No 20023188 and 20023189

Dear Examining Authority

First of all I'd like to thank you for all the care that you are showing in this enquiry, held in somewhat difficult covid-bound circumstances, and to reiterate that I am fully in favour of the principle of wind energy, while remaining extremely concerned about the proposed method of delivery to the grid.

However I have now become very concerned at the way in which other projects, such as Nautlius, Eurolink and Galloper (Five Estuaries), which were in the background from the outset, now seem to have moved into the foreground, and that there are documents from these organisations which should clearly be taken into account in terms of the cumulative impact. Here is just one, from Galloper (Five Estuaries): *'We currently have an offer from National Grid to connect in to Friston which we are considering but have not yet accepted and the offer is subject to consent being received for ScottishPower's DCO application for the East Anglia projects'*.

However, SPR maintain that these additional projects should not be included in their Cumulative Impact Assessment despite all the evidence of serious consideration of connecting to the Grid at Friston if this current Application is consented: please see the following link to SPR's statement: [Submission of Oral Case Issue Specific Hearing 2 on 2nd and 3rd of December 2020: Onshore Siting, Design and Construction](#)

Obviously all future plans are subject to review, but in the face of this evidence I am asking the Examining Authorities to uphold their pledge to take all additional projects into account by:

1. Asking ScottishPower Renewables to undertake a full Cumulative Impact Assessment of all known projects; and
2. Undertaking a rigorous examination of this Cumulative Impact Assessment

This should include not just the site of the additional substations but also the landfall site and the cable corridor. As the projects would not all take place at the same time, the disruption to the whole community could lead to a construction period of decades, with an estimated eight additional cable trenches to be dug, a despoiled landfall site, a wrecked Sandlings Walk Long Distance Footpath and other public rights of way, and a pretty rural village hideously compromised by an even larger group of substations right next to the village church.

It must be emphasised that all the primary stakeholders in this Examination including The Rt Hon Thérèse Coffey MP, East Suffolk Council, Suffolk County Council, Aldeburgh Town Council, Natural England, SASES, SOS and SEAS believe that the effects of all of these projects and associated impacts should be fully considered within this Examination.

[The National Policy Statement for Energy \(EN-1\)](#) states that *“When considering cumulative effects, the Environmental Statement should provide information on how the effects of the Applicant’s proposal would combine and interact with the effects of other development ...”*. Indeed, the Examining Authority has stated that all planned projects will be considered in coming to a recommendation. Please will you show us evidence of this, and bring SPR to account on this issue.

Many thanks and very best wishes,

Alan Bullard

Friston